

# Tukey Degrees of Ultrafilters

Kunen Fest 2009

Natasha Dobrinen

University of Denver

joint work with

Stevo Todorcevic

University of Toronto

Université Paris VII

**Def.**  $\mathcal{U} \leq_T \mathcal{V}$  iff there is a *Tukey* map  $g : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  taking unbounded subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  to unbounded subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

Equivalently,  $\mathcal{U} \leq_T \mathcal{V}$  iff there is a *cofinal* map  $f : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  taking cofinal subsets of  $\mathcal{U}$  to cofinal subsets of  $\mathcal{V}$ .

$\mathcal{U} \equiv_T \mathcal{V}$  iff  $\mathcal{U} \leq_T \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V} \leq_T \mathcal{U}$ .

**Fact.**  $\equiv_T$  is an equivalence relation.  $\leq_T$  is a partial ordering on the equivalence classes.

## Motivations

1. A special class of directed systems of size  $\mathfrak{c}$ .
2.  $\mathcal{V} \geq_{RK} \mathcal{U}$  implies  $\mathcal{V} \geq_T \mathcal{U}$ .
3. Shed light on Alaoglu-Birkhoff degrees of Mathias forcings with tails in ultrafilters.

What is the structure of Tukey degrees of ultrafilters on  $\omega$ ?

[Isbell 65] There is an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}_{top} \equiv_T [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ .

Note:  $\mathcal{V} \equiv_T [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$  iff  $\neg(\forall S \in [\mathcal{V}]^c \exists T \in [S]^\omega (\bigcap T \in \mathcal{V}))$ .

**Question.** [Isbell 65] Is there always (in ZFC) an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U} <_T \mathcal{U}_{top}$ ?

Note:  $\mathcal{V} \equiv_T [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$  iff  $\neg(\forall S \in [\mathcal{V}]^c \exists T \in [S]^\omega (\bigcap T \in \mathcal{V}))$ .

**Def.** [Solecki/Todorćević 04] An ultrafilter  $\mathcal{V}$  is *basic* if each convergent sequence has a bounded subsequence.

**Fact.** Each basic ultrafilter does not have top Tukey degree.

Note:  $\mathcal{V} \equiv_T [\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$  iff  $\neg(\forall S \in [\mathcal{V}]^c \exists T \in [S]^\omega (\bigcap T \in \mathcal{V}))$ .

**Def.** An ultrafilter  $\mathcal{V}$  is *basic* if each convergent sequence has a bounded subsequence.

**Fact.** A basic ultrafilter does not have top Tukey degree.

**Thm.** An ultrafilter is basic iff it is a p-point.

Are there Tukey non-top ultrafilters which are not p-points?

**Def.**  $\mathcal{U}$  is *basically generated* if there is a filter base  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  ( $\forall X \in \mathcal{U} \exists Y \in \mathcal{B} Y \subseteq X$ ) such that whenever  $A, A_n \in \mathcal{B}$  and  $A_n \rightarrow A$ , then there is a subsequence such that  $\bigcap_{k < \omega} A_{n_k} \in \mathcal{U}$ .

**Fact.** A basically generated ultrafilter is not Tukey top.

**Thm.** If  $\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{U}_n$  are p-points, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{U}_n$  is basically generated (but not a p-point).

**Fact.** Glazer selective ultrafilters on FIN are basically generated.

We now focus on the structure of Tukey degrees of  $p$ -points and ultrafilters below them.

**Theorem.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point and  $\mathcal{U} \geq_T \mathcal{V}$ , then there is a continuous monotone map  $f : \mathcal{P}(\omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\omega)$  such that  $f \upharpoonright \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a cofinal map.

Note:  $f$  is definable from its values on the Fréchet filter.

**Thm.** Every family of p-points of cardinality  $> \mathfrak{c}^+$  contains a subfamily of equal size of pairwise Tukey incomparable p-points.

**Thm.** Every  $\leq_T$  chain of p-points has cardinality  $\leq \mathfrak{c}^+$ .

**Thm.** If  $\mathcal{U} \geq_T \mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is selective, then  $\mathcal{V}$  is basically generated.

## Antichains

**Thm.** 1. If  $\text{cov}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathfrak{c}$ , and  $2^{<\kappa} = \mathfrak{c}$ , then there are  $2^\kappa$  pairwise incomparable selective ultrafilters.

2. If  $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{c}$  and  $2^{<\kappa} = \mathfrak{c}$ , then there are  $2^\kappa$  pairwise incomparable p-points.

## Chains

[Kunen 78] If  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\kappa$ -OK and  $\kappa > \text{cof}(\mathcal{U})$ , then  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point.

[Milovich 08]  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point iff it is  $\mathfrak{c}$ -OK and not Tukey top.

**Fact.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\kappa$ -OK but not a p-point, then  $\mathcal{U} \geq_T [\kappa]^{<\omega}$ . Hence, if  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $\kappa$ -OK but not a p-point, then  $\text{cof}(\mathcal{U}) = \kappa$  iff  $\mathcal{U} \equiv_T [\kappa]^{<\omega}$ .

If there are  $\kappa$ -OK non p-points with cofinality  $\kappa$  for each uncountable  $\kappa < \mathfrak{c}$ , then there is a strictly increasing chain of ultrafilters of length  $\alpha$ , where  $\aleph_\alpha = \mathfrak{c}$ .

**Thm.** (also independently by Dilip Raghavan) CH implies for each p-point  $\mathcal{U}$  there is a p-point  $\mathcal{V}$  such that  $\mathcal{V} >_T \mathcal{U}$ .

**Cor.** (CH) There is a Tukey strictly increasing chain of p-points of length  $\omega_1$ .

**Question.** Is it true that there is a p-point Tukey above ANY Tukey strictly increasing chain of p-points?

## Incomparable Predecessors

**Thm.** (MA) There is a p-point with 2 Tukey incomparable predecessors, each of which is also a p-point.

**Thm.** (CH) There is a Glazer selective ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\text{FIN}$  such that  $\mathcal{U}_{\min}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_{\max}$  are Tukey incomparable selective ultrafilters.

Comparing with  $\omega^\omega$ .

**Fact.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is rapid, then  $\mathcal{U} \geq_T \omega^\omega$ .

**Fact.** For each ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} \geq_T \omega^\omega$ .

**Fact.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point, then  $\mathcal{U}^\omega \equiv_T \mathcal{U} \times \omega^\omega$ .

**Thm.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point, then  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} \leq_T \mathcal{U}^\omega$ .

**Thm.** The following are equivalent for a p-point  $\mathcal{U}$

1.  $\mathcal{U} \geq_T \omega^\omega$ ;
2.  $\mathcal{U} \equiv_T \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U}$ ;
3.  $\mathcal{U} \equiv_T \mathcal{U}^\omega$ .

**Cor.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a rapid ultrafilter then  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} \equiv_T \mathcal{U}$ .

**Cor.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point and  $\mathcal{U} \geq_T \omega^\omega$ , then  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} \equiv_T \mathcal{U}$ .

**Cor.** If  $\mathcal{U}$  is a p-point of cofinality  $< \mathfrak{d}$ , then  $\mathcal{U} \not\equiv_T \omega^\omega$  and therefore  $\mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} >_T \mathcal{U}$ .

**Thm.** Assuming  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{c}$ , there is a p-point  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $\mathcal{U} \not\equiv_T \omega^\omega$  and therefore  $\mathcal{U} <_T \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} <_T \mathcal{U}_{top}$ .

## Some Open Problems

1. Is it true in ZFC that there is an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U} <_T \mathcal{U}_{top}$  [Isbell]?
2. Is Tukey equivalent to Rudin-Keisler for selective ultrafilters?
3. Is there an ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\omega$  such that  $\mathcal{U} <_T \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} <_T \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U} \cdot \mathcal{U}$ ?
4. What properties are preserved Tukey downwards?