

How many Measures can there be?

William Mitchell
wjm@math.ufl.edu

University of Florida

Kunen Fest
Topology and Set Theory Conference
University of Wisconsin, Madison
April 5, 2009

The Beginning: Kunen weighs in.

An answer: My contribution.

What about a model in which κ is the only measurable cardinal?

Are all those measurable cardinals needed to start with?

What is a 'measure'?

Definition

A cardinal is **measurable** if there is an embedding $i: V \rightarrow M$ such that κ is the least ordinal such that $i(\kappa) > \kappa$.

If i is such an embedding, let

$$U = \{x \subseteq \kappa : \kappa \in i(x)\}.$$

We call the set U a **measure**. It is

- ▶ (κ -complete) $X \subseteq U$ and $|X| < \kappa$ implies $\bigcup X \in U$,
- ▶ (uniform) $x \in U$ implies $|x| = \kappa$,
- ▶ (closed upward) $x \supseteq y \in U$ implies $x \in U$,
- ▶ (maximal) $x \subseteq \kappa$ implies $x \in U$ or $\kappa \setminus x \in U$,
- ▶ (Normal) if $f: \kappa \rightarrow \kappa$ and $\{\alpha < \kappa : f(\alpha) < \alpha\} \in U$ then there is γ so $\{\alpha : f(\alpha) = \gamma\} \in U$.

No measures is possible

Theorem (Scott 1961)

There are no measurable cardinals in L .

Theorem (Solovay 1960s)

Suppose that U is a measure on κ . Then in $L[U]$, κ is measurable and is the only measurable cardinal.

Theorem (Silver 1971)

The GCH holds in $L[U]$.

One measure is possible

Theorem (Kunen 1970)

- ▶ *If U is a measure in $L[U]$, then U is the only measure.*
- ▶ *More generally, suppose that U and U' are measures on κ and κ' in $L[U]$ and $L[U']$, respectively.*
 - ▶ *If $\kappa = \kappa'$ then $U = U'$.*
 - ▶ *If $\kappa < \kappa'$ then $L[U']$ is an iterated ultrapower of $L[U]$.*

Proof.

Suppose U and U' are measures on κ in $L[U]$ and $L[U']$, and let \mathcal{C} be the club filter on κ^{++} . Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L[U] & \xrightarrow{i_{\kappa^{++}}^U} & \text{Ult}_{\kappa^{++}}(L[U], U) = L[i_{\kappa^{++}}^U(U)] \\ & & \parallel \\ & & L[\mathcal{C}] \\ & & \parallel \\ L[U'] & \xrightarrow{i_{\kappa^{++}}^{U'}} & \text{Ult}_{\kappa^{++}}(L[U'], U') = L[i_{\kappa^{++}}^{U'}(U')] \end{array}$$

where $i_{\kappa^{++}}^U(U) = \mathcal{C} = i_{\kappa^{++}}^{U'}(U')$.

If we set $\Gamma = \{ \alpha : i_{\kappa^{++}}^U(\alpha) = i_{\kappa^{++}}^{U'}(\alpha) = \alpha \}$ then

$$L[U] \cong \text{Hull}^{L[\mathcal{C}]}(\Gamma \cup \{\mathcal{C}\}) \cong L[U'].$$



The maximum number of measures is possible

Theorem

[Kunen-Paris 1970] There is a generic extension $L[U, G]$ of $L[U]$ with $2^{(2^\kappa)}$ measures on κ .

In this model, $2^\kappa = \kappa^+$ and $2^{\kappa^+} = 2^{2^\kappa}$ can be arbitrarily large.

The Question

From the list of problems at the end of the Kunen-Paris paper:

Problem 1. *Can the number of normal ultrafilters on a measurable cardinal be intermediate between 1 and $2^{2^{\kappa}}$? Can this number be 2?*

From the chapter “Combinatorics” by Ken Kunen in the *Handbook of Mathematical Logic*, ed. by Jon Barwise, 1977.

Weakly compact cardinals are the beginning, not the end, of large cardinal theory. This game has been played to much greater heights (see SILVER [1973], SHOENFIELD, [1971], SOLOVAY, REINHGART AND KANAMORI, [~1977]). It should be pointed out that it is cheating to name a number that does not exist. At times in the past, plausible large cardinal assumptions have turned out to be inconsistent with ZFC. But maybe ZFC is inconsistent?

Appendix.* Ridiculously large cardinals.

. . .

* Appended by the editor and set-theory coordinator.

Where I came in

When finishing grad school, I noted the following observation of Kunen:

Proposition

Let A be the class of measurable cardinals. Then for each measurable cardinal κ there is a measure U_κ such that $A \cap \kappa \notin U_\kappa$.

Proof.

Suppose U_λ has been defined for $\lambda < \kappa$, and U is a measure on κ with $A \cap \kappa \in U$. Then set

$$U_\kappa = \{x \subseteq \kappa : \{\lambda \in A \cap \kappa : x \cap \lambda \in U_\lambda\} \in U\}.$$

□

Question

Set $\mathcal{U} = \langle U_\lambda : \lambda \leq \kappa \rangle \frown \langle U \rangle$. Are U_κ and U the only measures on κ in $L[\mathcal{U}]$?

The answer is yes

Definition

If U and U' are measures, say that $U \triangleleft U'$ if $U \in \text{Ult}(V, U')$.

Let $o(U)$ be the \triangleleft -rank of U , and

$$o(\kappa) = \sup \{ o(U) : U \text{ is a measure on } \kappa \}.$$

Theorem

(Mitchell) Suppose that $o(\kappa) \geq \lambda$. Then there is an inner model $L[U]$ of ZFC + GCH having either exactly $|\lambda|$ or $\kappa^{++} < \lambda$ many measures on κ .

- ▶ The proof is essentially the same as Kunen's proof that there is only one measure in $L[U]$.

Two further questions

- ▶ In the model $L[\mathcal{U}]$, there are many measurable cardinals below κ . Could we find a model in which κ has, say, exactly two measures, and κ is the **only** measurable cardinal?
- ▶ Is the large cardinal hypothesis that $o(\kappa) \geq \lambda$ necessary? Or could we start by assuming only that there is one measurable cardinal?

Theorem (Stu Baldwin 1983)

If $o(\kappa) \geq 2$ then there is a model in which κ is the only measurable cardinal, and κ has exactly two measures.

Sketch of Baldwin's proof

- ▶ First try: Use the model $M = L[U_\kappa, U, A]$ where, as before, $A = \{ \lambda < \kappa : o(\lambda) \geq 1 \}$, and U_κ and U are measures on κ such that $A \in U - U_\kappa$.
 - Problem: We have no control over the set A , which might be moved differently by the two measures on κ .
- ▶ Use another technique from Kunen's thesis: Take iterated ultrapowers using ultrafilters which are not members of the model M (but are sufficiently close to M).
- ▶ The actual model has the form $M = L[U_\kappa, U, A, \mathcal{F}]$ where the set \mathcal{F} is chosen so that the measures U_λ for $\lambda \in A$ are "sufficiently close" to M .

How to start with less

- ▶ When we started by assuming $o(\kappa) = 2$, then we already had 2 measures to start with.
- ▶ Starting with only one measure on κ , we need to add more by forcing.
- ▶ The main tool goes back to the Kunen-Paris result.

The Kunen-Paris construction

- ▶ Suppose that $i: M \rightarrow N$ is an embedding: say $N = \text{Ult}(M, U)$ and $i = i^U$.
- ▶ Now suppose $G \subseteq P$ is M -generic, and we want to extend i to

$$i^*: M[G] \rightarrow N^*.$$

- ▶ Then we must have $N^* = N[G^*]$, where $G^* \subseteq i(P)$ is N -generic and $i[G] \subseteq G^*$, so

$$i^*: M[G] \rightarrow N[G^*] \text{ is defined by } i^*(\tau^G) = (i(\tau))^{G^*}.$$

- ▶ Furthermore, in order to have i^* definable in $M[G]$, we would want to have $G^* \in M[G]$.

The Kunen-Paris construction

- ▶ Kunen and Paris used Easton forcing to add subsets to add subsets of successor cardinals only, up to κ^+ . Then $j(P) = P \times P^*$ where P^* is sufficiently closed that $N[G]$ -generic subsets can be defined in $M[G]$ —and so homogeneous that 2^{κ^+} of them can be.
- ▶ To end up with exactly two measures, the forcing $i(P)$ must be rather rigid — so that there are only two possible choices of G^* — but not entirely rigid, or there would be only one.

Theorem (Jeff Leaning 1999)

Suppose that $\langle U_\lambda : \lambda \leq \kappa \text{ \& } \lambda \text{ is measurable} \rangle$ is a sequence of measures such that

$$\forall x \in U_\kappa \exists \lambda < \kappa (x \cap \lambda \in U_\lambda).$$

Then in a generic extension there is a model $L[B, U_0, U_1]$ in which κ is the only measurable cardinal, and U_0 and U_1 are the only measures on κ .

Sketch of proof

- ▶ The set B comes from a modified Prikry forcing which does not distinguish between the measurable cardinals.
- ▶ Conditions are pairs (b, D) in which
 - ▶ b is a finite set of ordinals less than κ
 - ▶ D is a set such that $D \cap \lambda \in U_\lambda$ for every measurable cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$.
- ▶ Then the measure U_1 is generated by the set $U \cup \{B\}$ and U_0 is generated by the set $U \cup \{\kappa - B\}$.

Something quite different

Theorem (Apter, Cummings, Hamkin 2007)

If κ is a measurable cardinal, then there is a forcing extension, neither creating nor destroying any measurable cardinals, where there are exactly κ^+ many normal measures on κ .

The proof uses an iteration of three generic extensions:

- ▶ The first uses the Kunen-Paris forcing to get 2^{2^κ} measures.
- ▶ The second adds a Cohen real.
- ▶ The third collapses 2^{2^κ} onto κ^+ .

By a result of Hamkins (using the second stage) the third stage doesn't add any new measures. Thus in the final model there are exactly $(2^{2^\kappa})^{(V)}$ many measures, and this is κ^+ in the final model.

A final answer

Theorem (Friedman-Magidor 2008)

Assume that U is a measure on κ in $L[U]$. Then for any cardinal $\lambda \leq \kappa^{++}$, there is generic extension of $L[U]$, with the same cardinals, such that there are exactly λ measures on κ .

A sketch of the proof

We'll consider $\lambda = 2$.

Recall that we want a forcing order $P \in L[U]$ such that if $G \subseteq P$ is $L[U]$ -generic then there are exactly two sets G^* such that

- ▶ $G^* \subseteq i(P)$,
- ▶ $i[G] \subseteq G^*$, and
- ▶ $G^* \in L[U][G]$.

Giving two embeddings $i^*: L[U][G] \rightarrow L[i(U)][G^*]$ in $L[U][G]$, and hence two measures.

Ignore, for the moment, the requirement that $G^* \in L[U][G]$.

- ▶ (S. Friedman and K. Thompson) — Use κ -Sacks forcing: conditions are binary trees of height κ in which all maximal branches have length κ and the set of branch nodes on any branch is closed and unbounded.
- ▶ Let b be a generic branch, and consider embeddings — not (yet) in $L[U]$

$$i^*: L[U][b] \rightarrow M[b^*] \quad \text{with} \quad b^* = i^*(b).$$

Amazingly, there are exactly two choices of b^* :

- ▶ $b^*(\kappa)$ can be 0 or 1 — but that is the only possible variation.
- ▶ For any α with $\kappa < \alpha < i(\kappa)$ there is f such that $\alpha = [f]_U$.
- ▶ There is a dense set of conditions \mathcal{T} which, for a club set of ordinals $\nu < \kappa$, have no splitting nodes in the interval $(\nu, f(\nu)]$. Such a condition (together with the choice of $b^*(\kappa)$) determines $b^* \upharpoonright (\kappa, \alpha]$.

- ▶ To get i^* in the generic extension, Friedman and Magidor use a backward Easton iteration culminating in the Sacks forcing at κ .
- ▶ To ensure that the image of the forcing below κ is unique they
 - ▶ for $\lambda < \kappa$ add, in addition to a Sacks set b_λ , a coding C_λ of b_λ using almost disjoint stationary subsets of λ^+ , and
 - ▶ allow as supports in the iteration, sets $A \subseteq \kappa$ with $A \cap \lambda$ nonstationary for all inaccessible $\lambda \leq \kappa$.
- ▶ This ensures that the choice of $b_\kappa(\kappa) = 0$ or $b_\kappa(\kappa) = 1$ is the only possible variation in the choice of G^* . Thus there are exactly two measures.

