

# SOME BASIC FACTS ABOUT HILBERT AND BANACH SPACES

TSECHING LIEN

ABSTRACT. This follows Gilbarg and Trudinger Chapter 5, along with some things from Folland's *Real and Functional Analysis*.

We assume familiarity with the definition of a Banach space, i.e. a complete vector space, and what a norm is. We use the notation  $\|\cdot\|_V$  for the norm on the vector space  $V$ .

## 1. THE CONTRACTION MAPPING PRINCIPLE

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $T$  be a map from a normed vector space,  $V$  into itself.  $T$  is a contraction mapping if there exists a  $\theta > 1$  so that

$$(1.1) \quad \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \theta \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in V$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *A contraction mapping in a Banach space has a unique fixed point. That is, there exists a unique  $x$  so that  $Tx = x$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0$  be a point in our Banach space,  $B$ . We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $B$  in the following way:

$$x_n = T^n x_0.$$

Now, for  $n, m$  where  $n \geq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_m\| &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n \|x_j - x_{j-1}\| && \text{by the triangle inequality} \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n \|T^{j-1}(Tx_0 - x_0)\| \\ &\leq \sum_{j=m+1}^n \theta^{j-1} \|Tx_0 - x_0\| \\ &\leq \frac{\|Tx_0 - x_0\| \theta^m}{1 - \theta} \end{aligned}$$

As  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , the above goes to 0. Hence,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in our Banach space,  $B$ , and hence, must converge. Thus, let  $x$  be the limit of the the sequence. Then

$$Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Therefore,  $T$  must have a fixed point. If there were another point  $y \in B$  so that  $Ty = y$ , then

$$\|x - y\| = \|Tx - Ty\| \leq \theta \|x - y\|.$$

But  $\theta > 1$ . Thus, we must have  $x = y$ , and the fixed point is unique.  $\square$

*Remark.* The method of proof in the previous theorem is known as the *Method of Successive Approximations*.

## 2. METHOD OF CONTINUITY

Let  $V_1, V_2$  be two normed linear vector spaces. A linear mapping  $T : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  is bounded if

$$\|T\| = \sup_{x \in V_1, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}$$

is finite.

A linear map is continuous if and only if it is bounded. We consider when a linear map will be invertible.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $B$  be a Banach space,  $V$  any normed vector space, and let  $L_0, L_1$  be bounded linear operators from  $B$  into  $V$ . Define a homotopy from  $L_0$  to  $L_1$  in the following way:*

$$(2.1) \quad L_t = (1 - t)L_0 + tL_1, \quad \text{for each } t \in [0, 1].$$

Suppose there is a constant  $C > 0$  so that

$$(2.2) \quad \|x\| \leq C\|L_t x\|$$

for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ . The  $L_1$  is onto if and only if  $L_0$  is onto.

*Proof.* We only proof one direction since the other direction is identical. Suppose that  $L_0$  is onto.  $L_0$  is also one-to-one by (2.2), and thus, we have an inverse mapping  $L_0^{-1} : V \rightarrow B$ . Given a  $y$  in  $V$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  solving the equation  $L_t x = y$  is equivalent to solving the equation

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(x) &= y + (L_0 - L_t)(x) \\ &= y + tL_0x - tL_1x. \end{aligned}$$

Applying  $L_0^{-1}$  to both sides, we have

$$(2.3) \quad x = L_0^{-1}y + tL_0^{-1}(L_0 - L_1)x.$$

Let  $T$  be the linear map

$$Tx = L_0^{-1}y + tL_0^{-1}(L_0 - L_1)x.$$

Note that since  $\|x\| \leq C\|L_t x\|$ , we have  $\|L_0^{-1}\| \leq C\|y\|$  for all  $y \in B$ . Thus, for  $x, z \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Tz\| &\leq \|t(L_0^{-1}(L_0 - L_1)(x - z))\| \\ &\leq tC\|(L_0 - L_1)(x - z)\| \\ &\leq tC\|L_0 - L_1\|\|x - z\| \\ &\leq tC(\|L_0\| + \|L_1\|)\|x - z\|, \end{aligned}$$

and  $T$  is a contraction mapping if

$$t \leq (C(\|L_0\| + \|L_1\|))^{-1} = \delta.$$

Thus, by the Contraction Mapping Principle, we have a unique solution to the equation (2.3). Hence,  $L_t$  is invertible, given that  $t < \delta$ .

Now, the above proof is not specific to  $L_0$ . We could just have easily supposed that  $L_s$  is invertible for some  $s \in [0, 1]$ . Hence, dividing  $[0, 1]$  into finite number of balls of  $\delta$  length, we have that  $L_t$  is invertible for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , as long as there exists some  $t \in [0, 1]$  for which it is onto, in particular,  $L_0$ , or  $L_1$ . Thus,  $L_1$  must also be invertible, and therefore onto. □

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, 480 LINCOLN DRIVE,  
MADISON, WI 53706

*E-mail address:* `lien@math.wisc.edu`