

# INTEGRAL TRACE FORMS DEFINING DIFFERENT GENUS OVER ARITHMETICALLY EQUIVALENT NUMBER FIELDS

GUILLERMO MANTILLA-SOLER

ABSTRACT. In this short communication we exhibit two arithmetically equivalent number fields  $K$  and  $L$  such that their trace forms belong to different integral genus.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

It is known by work of R. Perlis (see [P]) that the Zeta function of a number field completely characterizes the rational genus of the trace form. It is natural then to ask, to what extent the same is true for the integral genus. The answer to this question was provided by B. Erez, J. Morales and R. Perlis in [EMP]. They showed that for tamely ramified number fields, the discriminant and the rational genus characterize the integral genus. In particular, tamely ramified number fields that are arithmetically equivalent have integral trace forms in the same genus. Here we provide two integral quadratic forms having the same rational genus but not the same integral one, furthermore they correspond to two arithmetically equivalent number fields.

## 2. NOTATION, DEFINITIONS AND EXAMPLE.

Let  $K$  be a number field and  $O_K$  be its maximal order.

**Definition 1.** *The trace form is the rational quadratic form defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{q}_K : K &\rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ x &\mapsto \text{tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

*The integral trace form  $q_K$  is just the integral quadratic form obtained by restricting  $\tilde{q}_K$  to  $O_K$ .*

**Definition 2.** *Two number fields  $K, L$  are called arithmetically equivalent if their Riemann zeta functions  $\zeta_K, \zeta_L$  coincide.*

The following theorem of Perlis ([P1]) gives a simple tool to find out when two number fields are arithmetically equivalent.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $K, L$  be number fields and let  $N$  to be the Galois closure of  $KL$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $G = \text{Gal}(N/\mathbb{Q}), H = \text{Gal}(N/K)$  and  $I = \text{Gal}(N/L)$ . Then  $K$  and  $L$  are arithmetically equivalent if and only if  $\text{Ind}_H^G(1) = \text{Ind}_I^G(1)$ .*

Using this characterization, local considerations and the interpretations of the Hasse invariants of  $\tilde{q}_K$  given by Serre (see [S]) Perlis showed (see [P]):

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be two arithmetically equivalent number fields, then  $\tilde{q}_K$  and  $\tilde{q}_L$  are in the same rational genus. In other words they are rationally equivalent.*

In the case of integral genus one extra hypothesis has to be added (see [EMP]);

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $K$  and  $L$  be two arithmetically equivalent number fields. Suppose that  $K$  and  $L$  are tamely ramified. Then  $q_K$  and  $q_L$  are in the same integral genus.*

The following example shows that the ramification condition can't be dropped.

**Example 6.**

Let  $K$  and  $L$  be the number fields defined by the polynomials  $p_K := x^8 + 15$  and  $p_L := x^8 + 240$  respectively. Let  $y$  be a root of  $p_K$  and  $z$  a root  $p_L$ . Then the following are integral basis for  $K$  and  $L$  respectively:

$$B_K = \{1, y, y^2, y^3, \frac{1}{2}(y^4 + 1), \frac{1}{2}(y^5 + y), \frac{1}{4}(y^6 + y^4 + y^2 + 1), \frac{1}{8}(y^7 + y^6 + y^5 + y^4 + y^3 + y^2 + y + 1)\}$$

$$B_L = \{1, z, \frac{1}{2}z^2, \frac{1}{4}(z^3 + 2z), \frac{1}{8}(z^4 + 4), \frac{1}{16}(z^5 + 4z^2 + 12z + 8), \frac{1}{32}(z^6 + 2z^4 + 4z^2 + 8), \frac{1}{64}(z^7 + 2z^5 + 4z^4 + 12z^3 + 16z^2 + 24z + 16)\}.$$

Calculating the Gramm Matrix of the trace form in these basis one gets the matrices

$$M_K = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -15 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -30 & -15 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -60 & 0 & -15 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -28 & 0 & -14 & -7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -15 \\ 2 & 0 & -30 & 0 & -14 & 0 & -22 & -11 \\ 1 & -15 & -15 & -15 & -7 & -15 & -11 & -13 \end{pmatrix}; \quad M_L = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -30 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -30 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -30 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -28 & 2 & -14 & -14 \\ 4 & 0 & 0 & -30 & 2 & 2 & -14 & -44 \\ 2 & 0 & -30 & 0 & -14 & -14 & -22 & -22 \\ 2 & -30 & 0 & -30 & -14 & -44 & -22 & -52 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since clearly  $M_L$  is zero as an element of  $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$  and  $M_K$  is not, the integral quadratic forms  $q_K$  and  $q_L$ , which in coordinates can be written as

$$q_K = 8x_1^2 - 28x_5^2 - 22x_7^2 - 13x_8^2 + 8x_1x_5 + 4x_1x_7 + 2x_1x_8 - 30x_2x_8 - 60x_3x_7 - 30x_3x_8 - 120x_4x_6 - 30x_4x_8 - 28x_5x_7 - 14x_5x_8 - 30x_6x_8 - 22x_7x_8$$

$$q_L = 8x_1^2 - 28x_5^2 + 2x_6^2 - 22x_7^2 - 52x_8^2 + 8x_1x_5 + 8x_1x_6 + 4x_1x_7 + 4x_1x_8 - 60x_2x_8 - 60x_3x_7 - 60x_4x_6 - 60x_4x_8 + 4x_5x_6 - 28x_5x_7 - 28x_5x_8 - 28x_6x_7 - 88x_6x_8 - 44x_7x_8$$

are not in the same integral genus. On the other hand using Theorem 3 one checks that  $K$  and  $L$  are arithmetically equivalent. In particular the above quadratic forms are rationally equivalent but not in the same rational genus.

## REFERENCES

- [EMP] B. Erez, J. Morales, R. Perlis *Sur le Genre de la form trace*, Seminaire de Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux.
- [P] R. Perlis, *On the analytic determinaion of the trace form*, Canad. Math. Bull. **28** (4) 1985, 422-430.
- [P1] R. Perlis, *On the equation  $\zeta_K = \zeta_{K'}$* , J. of Number Theory. **9** (1977), 489-509.
- [S] J.P. Serre, *L' Invariant de Witt de la forme  $Tr(x^2)$* , Comm. Math. Helv. **27** (1984), 651.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON, WI 53706 (mantilla@math.wisc.edu)