

# Least Upper Bounds

where we prove Theorems 1 and 2  
from the last chapter.

# Definitions

A set  $A$  of real numbers is ***bounded above***, if there exists a number  $x$ , such that  $x \geq a$ , for all  $a \in A$ .

$x$  is an ***upper bound*** for  $A$ .

$x$  is a ***least upper bound, supremum,  $\sup(A)$***  for  $A$ , if

(i)  $x$  is an upper bound for  $A$ , and

(ii) if  $y$  is an upper bound for  $A$ , then  $x \leq y$ .

Similarly,  $z = \inf A = \text{infimum of } A$   
= greatest lower bound of  $A$

**Note:** neither the supremum nor the infimum  
have to be in  $A$ .

# Exercise

Find the least upper bound  $u$  of  $A = [0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Is  $u$  in  $A$ ?

# Exercise

Find the least upper bound  $u$  of  $A = [0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Exercise

Consider the sequence defined recursively by

$$x_1 = \sqrt{2}$$
$$x_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_n}$$

Show, by induction that  $x_n < 2$ , for all  $n$ .

# Exercise

Consider the sequence defined recursively by

$$x_1 = \sqrt{2}$$
$$x_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_n}$$

Show, by induction that  $x_n < x_{n+1}$ , for all  $n$ .

# Note:

Example of a set that is bounded above but doesn't have a least upper bound:  $\emptyset$ , the empty set.

Any real number is an upper bound.



# *The Least Upper bound Property of Real Numbers*

If  $A$  is a set of real numbers,  $A \neq \emptyset$ , and  $A$  bounded above, then  $A$  has a least upper bound.

## Note:

As we have seen,  $\mathbb{Q}$  does not have the least upper bound property.

# Exercise

Suppose  $A, B$  are nonempty sets of real numbers, such that  $a \leq b$ , for all  $a \in A, b \in B$ .

(i) Prove  $\sup A \leq b$  for all  $b \in B$ .

(ii) Prove  $\sup A \leq \inf B$ .

# Proof of Theorem 7.1

If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a,b]$  and  $f(a) < 0 < f(b)$ , then there is an  $x$  in  $(a,b)$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ .

**Proof idea:**

find the smallest  $x \in [a,b]$ , such that  $f(x) = 0$ .

# Question

In the proof of Theorem 7.1, we showed that there exists a smallest  $x$  in  $(a,b)$  with  $f(x) = 0$ .

Is there necessarily a second smallest  $x$  in  $(a,b)$  with  $f(x) = 0$ ?

# Exercise

Show that there is a largest  $x$  in  $(a,b)$  with  
 $f(x) = 0$ .

# Exercise

Given  $f$  continuous, nonnegative on  $[a, b]$ ,  
 $f(a) = f(b) = 0$  and there exists an  $x_0$ , such that  
 $f(x_0) > 0$ .

Then there exist  $c, d$ , such that

$$a \leq c < x_0 < d \leq b \text{ and}$$

$f(c) = f(d) = 0$  and for all  $x$  in  $(c, d)$ :  $f(x) > 0$ .

# Theorem 8.1

If  $f$  is continuous at  $a$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f$  is bounded above on  $(a - \delta, a + \delta)$ .

Proof: this follows from the continuity of  $f$  at  $a$ .

Try it.



Similarly:

- $f$  bounded below on a suitable  $(a-\delta, a+\delta)$ .
- $f$  bounded above and below if  $a$  is the endpoint of a closed interval and  $f$  continuous at  $a$ .

# Theorem 8.2

## Archimedean Property

$\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above.

Proof: (by contradiction)

# Theorem 8.2

## Archimedian Property

$\mathbb{N}$  is not bounded above.

**Proof:** (by contradiction) Suppose not.

Since  $\mathbb{N}$  is not empty and bounded above, there is a least upper bound  $\alpha$ .

So  $\alpha \geq n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , in particular

$\alpha \geq n+1$ . ( $n+1 \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ )

Thus,  $\alpha - 1 \geq n$ , for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha$  is not a least upper bound. Contradiction

# Corollary

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{n} < \varepsilon.$$

**Proof:** by contradiction.

Try it.

We have actually used this many times before, when dealing with limits.

# Definition

A set of real numbers  $A$  is *dense*, if every open interval contains an element of  $A$ .

# Exercise

$\mathbb{Q}$  is dense in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof:** Consider the open interval  $(x, y)$ ,  $x < y$ .

Need to show that there exists  $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ , such that

$$x < q < y.$$

Try it.

# Nested Interval Theorem

Consider a sequence of closed intervals

$I_1=[a_1,b_1], I_2=[a_2,b_2], \dots$ , where

$a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_n \leq \dots \leq b_m \leq \dots \leq b_1$ .

Prove that there is a point  $x$  which is in every  $I_n$ .

Also show that this conclusion is false for open intervals.

# Exercise

Suppose  $f$  is continuous on  $[a,b]$ , then either

- $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) = 0$
- $f(a) < 0 < f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right)$  (\*)
- $f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) < 0 < f(b)$

Suppose (\*). Apply the same argument to

$\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$ .

**Claim:** This process will lead to  $x \in [a,b]$  such that  $f(x) = 0$ .



# Definition

The point  $x$  is an *accumulation point* of the set  $A$ , if for any open set  $O$  containing  $x$ , there are infinitely many points from  $A$  in  $O$ .

$x$  doesn't have to be in  $A$ .

# Exercises

Show: Every point in  $[0,1]$  is an accumulation point.

Show: No point at all is an accumulation point of the set of natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Show: every point on the real line, both rational and irrational, is an accumulation point of the set  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

# Exercise (additional)

Show: every point of a closed interval  $[a, b]$  is an accumulation point of  $(a, b)$ . No point outside can be.

# Definitons

Suppose  $A$  is a set of real numbers.

The *limit superior* =  $\overline{\lim} A$  = largest accumulation point of  $A$ .

The *limit inferior* =  $\underline{\lim} A$  = smallest accumulation point of  $A$ .

# Exercises

Find the limits superior and inferior, minima, maxima, if they exist, of:

$$1. A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$2. A = \{x \mid 0 \leq x \leq \sqrt{2}, x \text{ rational}\}$$

$$3. A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} + (-1)^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

# Uniform continuity

this measures how much a function oscillates  
i.e. how fast a function grows locally (ultimately  
its slope).

# Example

$f(x) = x^2$  is continuous, i.e it is continuous at each  $a$  :

$$\forall a \in \mathbb{R}, \varepsilon > 0, \exists \delta_{a\varepsilon} > 0,$$

such that  $\forall x$  satisfying  $|x - a| < \delta_{a\varepsilon}$

$$|f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon$$

here the  $\delta$  depends on  $a$  and on  $\varepsilon$ .

Draw picture.

We aim for a function where the  $\delta$  depends only on  $\varepsilon$ , and not on  $a$ .

# Definition

$f$  is *uniformly continuous* on an interval  $I$ , if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta_\varepsilon > 0$ , such that for all  $x, y \in I$ , if  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .



# Are they uniformly continuous?

1.  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  on  $(0,1)$
2.  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  on  $(\frac{1}{n},1)$
3.  $f(x) = \sin x$  on  $\mathbb{R}$
4.  $f(x) = x^3$  on  $[-100,100]$
5.  $f(x) = x$
6.  $f(x) = e^x$
7.  $f(x) = e^{-x}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$

# Lemma

If  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a,b]$  and on  $[b,c]$ , then  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a,c]$ .

Technically:

# Lemma

Let  $a < b < c$  and  $f$  continuous on  $[a, c]$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and suppose

(i)  $\exists \delta_1$  such that if  $x, y \in [a, b]$  and

$|x - y| < \delta_1$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$

(ii)  $\exists \delta_2$  such that if  $x, y \in [b, c]$  and

$|x - y| < \delta_2$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$

then

$\exists \delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in [a, c]$  and

$|x - y| < \delta$ , then  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ .

# Theorem 8.3

If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a,b]$ , then  $f$  is uniformly continuous on  $[a,b]$ .

# Theorem 7.2

If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a,b]$ , then  $f$  is bounded on  $[a,b]$ .

**Proof:** Take  $\varepsilon = 1$ , then there exists a  $\delta > 0$ , such that for any  $x, y$  satisfying  $|x - y| < \delta$ , then

$|f(x) - f(y)| < 1$ . Cover  $[a,b]$  with overlapping subintervals

$$\left[ a, a + \delta \right), \left( a + \frac{\delta}{2}, a + \frac{3\delta}{2} \right), \dots, \left( a + \frac{(2n+1)\delta}{2}, b \right]$$

There are  $n$  such intervals. Over each of them  $f$  varies by at most 1, so altogether  $|f(x) - f(y)| < n$

for any  $x, y$  in  $[a,b]$ .

# Theorem 7.3

If  $f$  is continuous on  $[a,b]$ , then there are numbers  $y, z \in [a,b]$  such that

for all  $x \in [a,b]$ :  $f(x) \leq f(y)$  and

for all  $x \in [a,b]$ :  $f(x) \geq f(z)$

Proof: see text