

## Final Exam Solutions

– Partially based on the solutions of D. Holcomb and Jaeho Lee –

**1.** Use the universal coefficient theorem to show that if  $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated, so the Euler characteristic  $\chi(X) = \sum_n \text{rank } H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is defined, then for any coefficient field  $F$  we have  $\chi(X) = \sum_n \text{rank } H_n(X; F)$ .

**Solution:** If we have that  $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated, then it can be written as the finite sum

$$H_*(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^N H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$$

for some  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and each  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated. By the Fundamental Theorem of Algebra, we can write

$$H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \left( \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i} \right)$$

for some  $d$  and  $a_i$ 's and  $m_i = p_i^{k_i} \in \mathbb{N}$  for some  $k_i$  with  $p_i$  primes. If we have that  $a = \sum_i a_i$  then a free resolution of  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d+a} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \left( \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i} \right) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore we have that

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}), F) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d \otimes F \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{d+a} \otimes F \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \left( \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i} \right) \otimes F \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Now we split the proof into two cases, one the case with  $\text{char}(F) = 0$  and the other with  $\text{char}(F) = p$  non-zero prime.

First assume  $\text{char}(F) = 0$ . Then by properties of tensor products any elements of finite order when tensored with  $F$  are 0 giving us that

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}), F) \longrightarrow F^d \xrightarrow{\varphi} F^{a+d} \longrightarrow F^d \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact. Therefore since we have that

$$\text{Tor}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}), F) = \ker \varphi$$

and  $\ker \varphi = 0$ , we have that  $\text{Tor}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}), F) = 0$ . Now by applying the universal coefficient theorem for homology we get that

$$H_n(X; F) = H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes F.$$

This gives us that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } H_n(X; F) &= \text{rank} \left( \mathbb{Z}^d \oplus \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i} \right) \otimes F \\ &= \text{rank } F^d \\ &= d = \text{rank } H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\chi(X) = \sum_n (-1)^n \text{rank } H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = \sum_n (-1)^n \text{rank } H_n(X; F)$  since the ranks are equal at each level.

Next we consider the case  $\text{char}(F) = p \neq 0$ . In this case, we need to calculate  $\text{Tor}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}); F)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}); F) &= \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}^d \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^d \oplus (\oplus_i \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i})); F) \\ &= \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}^d; F) \oplus (\oplus_i \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i}; F)) \\ &= \oplus_i \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}^{a_i}; F) = \oplus_i \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}; F)^{a_i}. \end{aligned}$$

But we compute  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}; F)$ . But we have  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}; F) = \ker(F \xrightarrow{m_i} F)$ . Therefore if  $p_i = p$ ,  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}; F) = F$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \otimes F = F$ . If  $p_i \neq p$ ,  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}; F) = 0$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_{m_i} \otimes F = 0$ . (Recall  $\mathbb{Z}_a \otimes \mathbb{Z}_b = \mathbb{Z}_{(a,b)}$ .)

Therefore if  $p_i \neq p$ , the torsion group does not contribute.

On the other hand if  $p_i = p$ , each such summand in  $\text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}); F)$  contributes to rank  $H_n(X; F)$ . In summary, we obtain

$$\dim_F(H_n(X; F)) = \dim_F(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes F \oplus \text{Tor}(H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}); F)) = b_n + c_n + c_{n-1}$$

where  $b_n = \text{rank } H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $c_n = \#(p\text{-multiple-torsion-summands of } H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}))$ . We sum

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{N+1} (-1)^n \dim_F H_n(X; F) &= \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} (-1)^n (b_n + c_n + c_{n-1}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} (-1)^n b_n + \sum_{n=1}^{N+1} (-1)^n c_n - \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n c_n \\ &= \chi(X) + (-1)^{c_{N+1}} - c_0. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that  $H_0$  has no torsion part and so  $c_0 = 0$ . We also have  $c_{N+1} = 0$  since  $H_{N+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  by the choice of  $N$ . This finishes the proof.

**2.** Show that  $\text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to the torsion subgroup of  $A$ . Deduce that  $A$  is torsion free iff  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$  for all  $B$ .

**Solution:** First note that  $\text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A)$ , then consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then properties of  $\text{Tor}$  give us that

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Z}, A) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}, A) \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Q} \otimes A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes A \longrightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence. Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$  are torsion free this leaves us with

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes A \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{Q} \otimes A \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \otimes A \longrightarrow 0$$

Now consider the inclusion map in the above exact sequence it can be interpreted as a map  $A \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \otimes A$  by  $a \mapsto 1 \otimes a$ . Since we are mapping into  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes A$  then any element of finite order  $n$  in  $A$  has the property that  $1 \otimes a \sim 1/n \otimes na \sim 0$ , so the kernel of the map is exactly the element of  $A$  with finite order, or the torsion subgroup of  $A$ . Since the sequence is exact this gives us that  $\text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A)$  is isomorphic to the kernel of the inclusion map, and so to the torsion subgroup of  $A$ .

Now this means that if  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$  for all  $B$ , then  $\text{Tor}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  meaning that  $A$  has no torsion subgroup and so is torsion free. And by properties of  $\text{Tor}$  we have that if  $A$  is torsion free then  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$ , therefore  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$  for all  $B$  iff  $A$  is torsion free.

**3.** Show that if  $\tilde{H}^n(X; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $\tilde{H}^n(X; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  are zero for all  $n$  and all primes  $p$ , then  $\tilde{H}_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for all  $n$ , and hence  $\tilde{H}^n(X; G) = 0$  for all  $G$  and  $n$ .

**It seems to me that this problem should assume that  $H_*(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated or at least  $X$  is the direct limit of  $X_\alpha$  whose homology are finitely generated. :**

**Solution:** The universal coefficient theorem gives us that

$$\tilde{H}^n(X; \mathbb{Q}) = \text{hom}(\tilde{H}_n(X), \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X), \mathbb{Q})$$

and

$$\tilde{H}^n(X; \mathbb{Z}_p) = \text{hom}(\tilde{H}_n(X), \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X), \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

Therefore we have

$$\text{hom}(\tilde{H}_n(X), \mathbb{Q}) = 0 = \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X), \mathbb{Q}), \quad \text{hom}(\tilde{H}_n(X), \mathbb{Z}_p) = 0 = \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X), \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

for all  $n$  and for all prime  $p$ . Set  $A_n = \tilde{H}_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$ .

First consider the case where  $A_n$  is finitely generated. Then we can write  $A_n = F_n \oplus T_n$  with  $F_n$  free and  $T_n$  torsion by FTA. But then we have

$$0 = \text{Hom}(A_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Hom}(F_n, \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \text{Hom}(T_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Hom}(F_n, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Since we have  $F_n = \mathbb{Z}^{d_n}$  for some integer  $d_n \geq 0$  and so  $\text{Hom}(F_n, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{d_n}$ , we find  $d_n = 0$  and so  $F_n = 0$ .

On the other hand, we recall  $F_n = \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{r_1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p_m^{r_m}}$  for some prime  $p_i \geq 2$  and  $r_i \geq 0$ , where  $p_i$  are not necessarily different. By taking  $\text{Ext}(F_n; \mathbb{Z}_p)$  for various  $p$ 's, we have

$$0 \cong \text{Ext}(F_n; \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{r_i}}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathbb{Z}_p / p_i^{r_i} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

(Here we recall  $\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}_m, G) \cong G/mG$ .) In other words, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = p_i^{r_i} \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

for all prime  $p$  and in particular, we have

$$p_i^{r_i} \mathbb{Z}_{p_i} = \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}.$$

But this is possible only if  $r_i = 0$ . Since this holds for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we have also proved  $T_n = 0$  and hence  $A_n = 0$  for all  $n$ . This finished proof.

**4.** Show that  $\otimes$  and  $Tor$  commute with direct limits:  $(\varinjlim A_\alpha) \otimes B = \varinjlim (A_\alpha \otimes B)$  and  $Tor(\varinjlim A_\alpha, B) = \varinjlim Tor(A_\alpha, B)$ .

**Solution:** First we show that the tensor product commutes with direct limits in the following way. Let  $\{f_{\alpha\beta} : A_\alpha \rightarrow A_\beta\}$  be the given directed system and denote  $A = \varinjlim A_\alpha$ . Denote by  $\nu_\alpha : A_\alpha \rightarrow A$  the canonical map induced by the directed system.

If we consider the groups  $A_\alpha \otimes B$  this is a directed system with maps given by  $g_{\alpha\beta} = f_{\alpha\beta} \otimes id$ . Then we consider the maps

$$\nu_\alpha \otimes id : A_\alpha \otimes B \rightarrow A \otimes B$$

which are compatible with the directed system  $\{g_{\alpha\beta} : A_\alpha \otimes B \rightarrow A_\beta \otimes B\}$  and hence induces a map

$$\nu : \varinjlim (A_\alpha \otimes B) \rightarrow A \otimes B.$$

On the other hand, we also have the canonical map

$$\mu_\alpha : A_\alpha \otimes B \rightarrow \varinjlim (A_\alpha \otimes B)$$

associated to the direct system  $g_{\alpha\beta}$  such that

$$\mu_\alpha = \mu_\beta \circ g_{\alpha\beta}.$$

We define a map  $\mu : A \otimes B \rightarrow \varinjlim (A_\alpha \otimes B)$  as follows. Let  $a \otimes b \in A \otimes B$ . By definition, we have  $a = [a_\alpha]$  for some  $a_\alpha \in A_\alpha$ . Then we define

$$\mu(a \otimes b) = \mu_\alpha(a_\alpha \otimes b).$$

One checks that this is well-defined as  $\mu_\alpha$  satisfies the compatibility condition. We claim  $\nu \circ \mu = id$ . But

$$\nu \circ \mu(a \otimes b) = \nu \circ \mu([a_\alpha] \otimes b) = \nu(\mu_\alpha(a_\alpha \otimes b)) = \nu([a_\alpha \otimes b]).$$

By the definition of the canonical map  $\nu$  which is the limit of  $\nu_\alpha \otimes id$ , we have

$$\nu([a_\alpha \otimes b]) = [a_\alpha] \otimes b = a \otimes b.$$

This proves  $\nu \circ \mu = id$ . On the other hand, we have

$$\mu \circ \nu([a_\alpha \otimes b]) = \mu([a_\alpha] \otimes b) = \mu_\alpha(a_\alpha \otimes b) = [a_\alpha \otimes b]$$

where we use the definition of  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  above for the first and the second identity respectively. Hence  $\mu \circ \nu = id$ . This finishes the proof.

Now to show that Tor commutes with direct limits, we recall the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes B_\alpha \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \otimes B_\alpha \longrightarrow A \otimes B_\alpha \longrightarrow 0.$$

We also have the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes B_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F_0 \otimes B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0. \\ & & \downarrow \text{Tor}(id, f_{\alpha\beta}) & & \downarrow id \otimes f_{\alpha\beta} & & \downarrow id \otimes f_{\alpha\beta} & & \downarrow id \otimes f_{\alpha\beta} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A, B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes B_\beta & \longrightarrow & F_0 \otimes B_\beta & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B_\beta & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

By taking the direct limit of the horizontal exact sequences, we obtain the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes B_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F_0 \otimes B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{Tor}(id, \nu_\alpha) & & \downarrow \nu_{1,\alpha} & & \downarrow \nu_{0,\alpha} & & \downarrow \nu_{A,\alpha} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \text{Tor}(A, B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (F_1 \otimes B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (F_0 \otimes B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (A \otimes B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\nu_{i,\alpha} : F_i \otimes B_\alpha \rightarrow \varinjlim (F_i \otimes B_\alpha)$  and  $\nu_{A,\alpha} : A \otimes B_\alpha \rightarrow \varinjlim (A \otimes B_\alpha)$  are the canonical maps. From this, it follows that the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varinjlim \text{Tor}(A, B_\beta) \longrightarrow \varinjlim (F_1 \otimes B_\beta) \longrightarrow \varinjlim (F_0 \otimes B_\beta) \longrightarrow \varinjlim (A \otimes B_\beta) \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. One more time, by composing with the canonical vertical maps, the above commuting diagram also induces the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes B_\alpha & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & F_0 \otimes B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B_\alpha & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \text{Tor}(id, \nu_\alpha) & & \downarrow id \otimes \nu_\alpha & & \downarrow id \otimes \nu_\alpha & & \downarrow id \otimes \nu_\alpha & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes B & \longrightarrow & F_0 \otimes B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where  $\nu_\alpha : B_\alpha \rightarrow B$  is the canonical map. This in turn induces the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim \text{Tor}(A, B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (F_1 \otimes B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (F_0 \otimes B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim (A \otimes B_\beta) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Tor}(A, B) & \longrightarrow & F_1 \otimes B & \longrightarrow & F_0 \otimes B & \longrightarrow & A \otimes B & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where  $B = \varinjlim B_\beta$  and  $\mu : \varinjlim \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$  is the limit map of  $\text{Tor}(id, \nu_\alpha) : \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B)$ . Here the isomorphism property of the 2nd-4th vertical maps follows since we have proved direct limit commutes with tensoring. Now by the Five Lemma, it follows that  $\mu$  is an isomorphism. In other words, we have proved

$$\varinjlim \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) \cong \text{Tor}(A, \varinjlim B_\alpha).$$

**5.** From the fact that  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$  if  $A$  is free, deduce that  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$  if  $A$  is torsionfree by applying the previous problem to the directed system of finitely generated subgroups  $A_\alpha$  of  $A$ .

**Solution:** First note that  $\varinjlim A_\alpha = A$  because  $\varinjlim A_\alpha$  is defined to be the direct sum  $\bigoplus_\alpha A_\alpha$  quotiented by the subgroup generated by all elements of the form  $a - f_{\alpha\beta}(a)$  for  $a \in A_\alpha$ , that is if we have  $x \in A_\alpha \subset A$  and  $x \in A_\beta \subset A$ , then we identify  $x$  with itself. In this way what remains is exactly  $A$ .

Now if we consider any finitely generated subgroup  $A_\alpha$  of  $A$ , then we have that  $\text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B) = 0$  since  $A_\alpha$  is free. Now since direct limits commute with  $\text{Tor}$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varinjlim \text{Tor}(A_\alpha, B) &= \text{Tor}(\varinjlim A_\alpha, B) \\ \varinjlim 0 &= \text{Tor}(A, B) \\ 0 &= \text{Tor}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

And so  $\text{Tor}(A, B) = 0$  if  $A$  is torsion free.

**6.** Show that  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  is always a torsion group, and that  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  contains an element of order  $n$  iff both  $A$  and  $B$  contains elements of order  $n$ .

**Solution:** First we assume that  $B$  is finitely generated. Then we can decompose

$$B = F(B) \oplus T(B)$$

(noncanonically) into the free and the torsion parts by FTA. Therefore we have

$$\text{Tor}(A, B) = \text{Tor}(A, F(B)) \oplus \text{Tor}(A, T(B)) = \text{Tor}(A, T(B))$$

as  $\text{Tor}(A, F(B)) = 0$  since  $F(B)$  is free. Therefore let us assume that  $B$  is a torsion group. Consider the free resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{\vartheta} F_0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow 0$$

is a free resolution of  $A$ , then we have the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \longrightarrow F_1 \otimes B \xrightarrow{\varphi} F_0 \otimes B \longrightarrow A \otimes B \longrightarrow 0$$

Now let  $x$  be any element of the kernel of  $\varphi$  and let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \otimes b_i$  with  $f_i \in F_1$  and  $b_i \in B$ . Then since  $B$  is a torsion group each  $f_i \otimes b_i$  has finite order and so does  $x$ . Since  $\text{Tor}(A, B) \simeq \ker \varphi$  we also have that any element of  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  has finite order and so  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  is a torsion group.

Since any  $B$  can be written as the direct limit of a finitely generated subgroups and the  $\text{Tor}$  functor commutes with the direct limit, we have

$$\varinjlim \text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha) = \text{Tor}(A, B).$$

We know that each  $\text{Tor}(A, B_\alpha)$  is a torsion group. It is enough to prove

Claim: A direct limit of torsion groups is again a torsion group.

Proof of the claim: Let  $T$  be the limit of  $(T_\alpha, f_{\alpha\beta})$  for torsion groups  $T_\alpha$ . Denote by  $f_\alpha : T_\alpha \rightarrow T$  the canonical map. Since any element  $x \in T$  has the form  $x = [x_\alpha] = f_\alpha(x_\alpha)$ ,  $x$  must have order less than that of  $x_\alpha$  whose order we know is finite by the assumption. Therefore  $x$  has a finite order and hence  $T$  is a torsion group.

Now to see that  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  has an element of order  $n$  if and only if both  $A$  and  $B$  do, first assume that  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  has an element  $x \in \ker \varphi \subset F_1 \otimes B$  of order  $n$ . Let  $x = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \otimes b_i$  for  $f_i \in F_1$  and  $b_i \in B$ . By rearranging  $f_i$ 's, we may assume that  $f_i$ 's are linearly independent. We have

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i \otimes (nb_i) \cong \oplus nb_i$$

where the last congruence follows from the hypothesis that  $F_i$  is free and  $f_i$ 's are linearly independent. Therefore it follows that

$$\text{ord}(x) = \max_i \text{ord}(b_i).$$

Therefore if  $\text{Tor}(A, B)$  has order  $n$ ,  $b$  must have an element of order  $n$ . Since  $\text{Tor}$  is symmetric, the same conclusion holds for  $A$ .

Now assume that both  $A$  and  $B$  have elements of order  $n$ . Again consider the free resolution

$$0 \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{i} F_0 \xrightarrow{j} A \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $0 \neq a \in A$  and  $0 \neq b \in B$  have order  $n$ . Since  $j$  is surjective,  $j(f_0) = a$  for some  $f_0 \in F_0$ . Then  $0 = na = nj(f_0) = j(nf_0)$  and so  $nf_0 = i(f_1)$  for some  $f_1 \in F_1$  by the exactness of the resolution. Now consider

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Tor}(A, B) \xrightarrow{k} F_1 \otimes B \xrightarrow{i \otimes id} F_0 \otimes B \xrightarrow{j \otimes id} A \otimes B \rightarrow 0.$$

Since  $b$  has order  $n$ , we have

$$0 = f_0 \otimes nb = nf_0 \otimes b = i(f_1) \otimes b = (i \otimes id)(f_1 \otimes b).$$

Therefore by the exactness, there is some  $t \in \text{Tor}(A, B)$  such that

$$k(t) = f_1 \otimes b.$$

We have  $f_1 \otimes b \neq 0$  since  $F_1$  is free and  $b \neq 0$ . Since  $k$  is a homomorphism,  $t \neq 0$ . Finally since  $b$  has order  $n$ , we have  $f_1 \otimes nb = 0$  and so  $k(nt) = nk(t) = n(f_1 \otimes b) = f_1 \otimes nb = 0$ . Therefore the injectivity of  $k$  implies  $nt = 0$  and so  $t$  has order  $n$ . This finishes the proof.