

**Answers to the Algebra Qualifying Exam
August 2001**

1. a. Suppose G is a subgroup of $A = \text{Alt}_7$. Then $|A : G| = (7!/2)/(2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7) = 5$. By the $n!$ -Theorem, A must have a proper normal subgroup of index $\leq 5!$. But A is simple and $|A| > 5!$, so this is a contradiction.

b. By the Sylow theorems, $n_3 \equiv 1(3)$ and $n_3 | 2^3 \cdot 7$. The possibilities are $n_3 = 1, 4, 7$ or 28 . Since G is simple, we cannot have $n_3 = 1$. If $n_3 = 4$, then the $n!$ -Theorem implies that G has a proper normal subgroup of index $\leq 4!$. But G is simple and $|G| > 4!$, so this is impossible. Next, if $n_3 = 7$, then the $n!$ -Theorem and the simplicity of G imply that G is embedded isomorphically in $S = \text{Sym}_7$. Furthermore, if $A = \text{Alt}_7$, then $A \triangleleft S$ implies that $(G \cap A) \triangleleft G$ with $|G : G \cap A| \leq 2$. Since G is simple, we conclude that $G = G \cap A$, so $G \subseteq A$ and this contradicts the conclusion of part (a). Thus we can only have $n_3 = 28$.

2. a. We know that $M/M^2 \triangleleft R/M^2$ and that $(R/M^2)/(M/M^2) \cong R/M$ is a field since M is maximal. Let $\theta: R/M^2 \rightarrow R/M$ denote the corresponding epimorphism. If e is an idempotent in R/M^2 , then $e(1-e) = 0$ implies that $\theta(e)\theta(1-e) = 0$. But R/M has no zero divisors, so either $\theta(e) = 0$ or $\theta(1-e) = 0$. In the first case, we have $e \in \ker \theta = M/M^2$. But every element in M/M^2 has square 0, so $e = e^2 = 0$. On the other hand, if $\theta(1-e) = 0$ then, since $1-e$ is also an idempotent, the above yields $1-e = 0$ and hence $e = 1$.

b. Let $\bar{\cdot}: M \rightarrow M/M^2$ denote the natural R -module homomorphism. Since R is Noetherian, M is a finitely generated R -module, say $M = m_1R + m_2R + \cdots + m_kR$. Then $M/M^2 = \bar{M} = \bar{m}_1R + \bar{m}_2R + \cdots + \bar{m}_kR$. But M acts trivially on the module M/M^2 , so this yields $M/M^2 = \bar{M} = \bar{m}_1(R/M) + \bar{m}_2(R/M) + \cdots + \bar{m}_k(R/M)$ and M/M^2 is a finite-dimensional vector space over the field R/M .

c. If $R = K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_t]$, then the Hilbert Nullstellensatz implies that the field R/M is a finite algebraic extension of K . In other words, $\dim_K R/M < \infty$. Furthermore, the Hilbert Basis Theorem implies that R is Noetherian so, by (b), we know that M/M^2 is a finite-dimensional R/M -vector space. Thus M/M^2 is also a finite-dimensional K -vector space. Since $\theta: R/M^2 \rightarrow R/M$ is a K -linear transformation with kernel M/M^2 , we conclude that $\dim_K R/M^2 = \dim_K M/M^2 + \dim_K R/M < \infty$.

3. a. Say $\alpha^m \in F$ with $m > 0$ and write $m = qn + r$ where q and r are nonnegative integers with $r < n$. Then $\alpha^r = \alpha^m / (\alpha^n)^q \in F$, so the minimality of n implies that $r = 0$ and $n|m$.

b. Suppose $\text{char } F = p > 0$ and that $p|n$. Say $n = pt$. Then the minimality of n implies that $\beta = \alpha^t \in E \setminus F$ and $\beta^p = \alpha^n \in F$. Now β is a root of the polynomial $x^p - \beta^p \in F[x]$ and this polynomial is equal to $(x - \beta)^p$ in $E[x]$. Since $\beta \notin F$, the minimal polynomial of β over F must be a divisor of $x^p - \beta^p$ of degree larger than 1, and hence it has β as a multiple root. In particular, β is not separable over F , so E is not separable over F and this contradicts the assumptions.

c. Let $f(x) \in F[x]$ be the minimal monic polynomial of α over F and suppose that $\deg f(x) = r$. Since α satisfies $x^n - \alpha^n \in F[x]$, it follows that $f(x)$ divides $x^n - \alpha^n$, so $r \leq n$ and each root of $f(x)$ in the algebraic closure of E is of the form $\varepsilon\alpha$, where ε is an

n th root of unity. In particular, the product of the r roots of $f(x)$ must be equal to $\delta\alpha^r$, where δ is also an n th root of unity. Note that this product is plus or minus the constant coefficient of the polynomial $f(x) \in F[x]$ and hence it is contained in F . In other words, $\delta\alpha^r \in F \subseteq E$. Since $\delta\alpha^r \in E$ and $0 \neq \alpha \in E$, we have $\delta \in E$ and then, by assumption, $\delta \in F$. With this, $\delta\alpha^r \in F$ implies that $\alpha^r \in F$, and the minimality of n yields $r = n$. Since $E = F[\alpha]$, we conclude that $|E : F| = \deg f(x) = n$, as required.

4. a. Suppose $A = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ and define the real diagonal matrices $B = \text{diag}(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ and $C = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n)$ as follows. If $a_i > 0$, set $b_i = \sqrt{a_i}$ and $c_i = 0$, while if $a_i \leq 0$, set $b_i = 0$ and $c_i = \sqrt{-a_i}$. Then for each i , we have $b_i c_i = 0$ and $a_i = b_i^2 - c_i^2$, so $BC = CB = 0$ and $A = B^2 - C^2$.

b. Since A is a real symmetric matrix, we know that it has real eigenvalues and that it can be diagonalized by a real matrix. In other words, there exists a real invertible matrix P with $P^{-1}AP$ a real diagonal matrix. By (a), we can write $P^{-1}AP = U^2 - V^2$ where U and V are real matrices satisfying $UV = VU = 0$. Set $B = PUP^{-1}$ and $C = PVP^{-1}$. Since conjugation is an algebra automorphism of the matrix ring, we then have $A = B^2 - C^2$ and $BC = CB = 0$.

c. Let $v \neq 0$ be a real eigenvector for B corresponding to the given real eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$. That is, $Bv = \lambda v$ and $v = \lambda^{-1}Bv$. Since $CB = 0$, we have $Cv = C(\lambda^{-1}Bv) = \lambda^{-1}(CB)v = 0$. In other words, v is also an eigenvector for C , but with eigenvalue 0. Finally, $Av = (B^2 - C^2)v = \lambda^2 v - 0v = \lambda^2 v$. Since $v \neq 0$, this says that $\lambda^2 > 0$ is an eigenvalue for A with v as a corresponding eigenvector.

5. a. $DM(x^n) = D(x^{n+1}) = (n+1)x^n$ and $MD(x^n) = M(nx^{n-1}) = nx^n$. Thus $(DM - MD)(x^n) = (n+1)x^n - nx^n = x^n = I(x^n)$. Since $DM - MD$ and I agree on the basis $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$, they are identical.

b. Suppose the set $\{M^i D^j\}$ is K -linearly dependent. Then there are field elements $a_{i,j}$ so that $(*) \sum_{j=k}^{\ell} \sum_i a_{i,j} M^i D^j = 0$ and $a_{i,k} \neq 0$ for some subscript i . Note that $D^k(x^k) = k!$ and hence $D^j(x^k) = 0$ for all $j > k$. Thus, applying the expression $(*)$ to x^k yields $0 = \sum_i a_{i,k} M^i(k!) = \sum_i k! a_{i,k} x^i$. But K has characteristic 0, so $k!$ is not 0 in K and hence we must have $a_{i,k} = 0$ for all i , a contradiction.

c. We proceed by induction on t . If $t = 0$ then $DM^t = D$ is certainly in the K -linear span of L . Now suppose that the result holds for some $t \geq 0$. Then, by (a), $DM^{t+1} = DM \cdot M^t = (I + MD) \cdot M^t = M^t + M \cdot DM^t$. It is clear that M^t is in the linear span of L and, by induction, so is DM^t . Furthermore, from the nature of L , it is clear that the span of L is closed under left multiplication by M and hence $M \cdot DM^t$ is in this span. Consequently, so is $DM^{t+1} = M^t + M \cdot DM^t$, and the induction step is proved.