

**Answers to the Algebra Qualifying Exam  
January 1994**

1. (i) Let  $G$  be a perfect group, let  $S$  be a nonidentity solvable group and suppose  $\phi: G \rightarrow S$  is an epimorphism. Since  $S$  is nonidentity and solvable, its commutator quotient  $S/S'$  is a nonidentity abelian group. Thus the composite map  $G \rightarrow S \rightarrow S/S'$  yields a homomorphism of  $G$  onto a nontrivial abelian group and this contradicts the fact that  $G$  is perfect.

(ii) Now let  $H \triangleleft G$  with  $G/H$  perfect. Suppose  $\theta: G \rightarrow S$  is a homomorphism from  $G$  to a solvable group  $S$  and let  $N = \ker \theta$ . Then  $G/N$  is solvable since it is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $S$ . Notice that  $NH \triangleleft G$  and that  $G/NH$  is a homomorphic image of  $G/N$ . Thus  $G/NH$  is also solvable. On the other hand,  $G/NH$  is a homomorphic image of the perfect group  $G/H$ . Thus, by (i) above,  $G/NH = 1$  and  $G = NH$ . Since  $\theta(N) = 1$ , this yields  $\theta(G) = \theta(NH) = \theta(N)\theta(H) = \theta(H)$ .

2. (i) Let  $W \subseteq V$  and let  $X$  be a simple submodule of  $W$ . By assumption,  $X$  is a direct summand of  $V$ , say  $V = X \dot{+} Y$ . By the modular law,  $W = W \cap V = W \cap (X + Y) = X + (W \cap Y)$ . Furthermore, the latter sum is direct since  $X \cap Y = 0$ . Thus  $X$  is a direct summand of  $W$ .

(ii) Suppose false. By the minimal condition, we can choose  $W$  to be a submodule of  $V$  minimal with the property that  $W$  is not a finite direct sum of simple submodules. Obviously,  $W \neq 0$ , so by the minimal condition again,  $W$  has a simple submodule  $X$ . Part (i) above now implies that  $W = X \dot{+} Y$  for some complementary submodule  $Y$ . But  $Y$  is properly smaller than  $W$ , so the minimal nature of  $W$  implies that  $Y$  is a direct sum of finitely many simple submodules. Hence  $W = X \dot{+} Y$  also has this property and we have a contradiction. Thus  $V$  is a finite direct sum of simple submodules.

3. (i) Note that  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^{16} - 3$  and this polynomial is irreducible over the rationals  $Q$  by Eisenstein's criterion applied to the prime 3. Thus  $|Q[\alpha] : Q| = 16$ . Next,  $\alpha^2$  is a root of the irreducible polynomial  $x^8 - 3$ , by Eisenstein's criterion again, so  $|Q[\alpha^2] : Q| = 8$ . Since  $x^4 - 3$  and  $x^2 - 3$  are also irreducible, we conclude that  $|Q[\alpha^4] : Q| = 4$  and  $|Q[\alpha^8] : Q| = 2$ . Thus these intermediate fields are all distinct.

(ii) Now let  $Q \subseteq K \subseteq F = Q[\alpha]$ . Then  $F = K[\alpha]$  and  $|F : K| = n$  divides  $|F : Q| = 16$ . If  $f(x) = x^n + \dots + c$  is the minimal monic polynomial for  $\alpha$  over  $K$ , then  $f(x)$  divides  $x^{16} - 3$  and hence the roots of  $f(x)$  are all of the form  $\alpha\delta$  where  $\delta$  is a root of unity. Thus since  $\pm c$  is the product of the roots of  $f(x)$ , we see that  $c = \alpha^n \epsilon$  where  $\epsilon$  is also a root of unity. Now  $\alpha^n \epsilon = c \in K \subseteq Q[\alpha]$  and  $\alpha$  is real, by assumption. Thus  $\epsilon$  is a real root of unity, so  $\epsilon = \pm 1$  and  $K \supseteq Q[\alpha^n]$ . As we observed,  $n$  divides 16 and, by (i) above,  $|Q[\alpha^n] : Q| = 16/n$ . Since

$$16 = |F : Q| = |F : K| \cdot |K : Q[\alpha^n]| \cdot |Q[\alpha^n] : Q| = n \cdot |K : Q[\alpha^n]| \cdot 16/n,$$

we conclude that  $|K : Q[\alpha^n]| = 1$  and hence that  $K = Q[\alpha^n]$ .

4. We can assume that  $X \neq 0$  and we fix a nonzero matrix  $x \in X$ . By assumption,  $x$  is invertible. Now let  $y$  be an arbitrary matrix in  $X$  and, since the complex numbers are algebraically closed, let  $k \in C$  be an eigenvalue of the matrix  $yx^{-1}$ . Then

$$\det(kx - y) = \det(kI - yx^{-1})x = \det(kI - yx^{-1}) \cdot \det x = 0$$

by the choice of  $k$ . In particular,  $kx - y \in X$  is not invertible, so by assumption,  $kx - y = 0$ . Thus  $y = kx \in Cx$  and, since  $y$  is arbitrary,  $X = Cx$  is one-dimensional.

5. (i) We know that  $\alpha$  is the root of a nontrivial polynomial over  $Q$  and, by clearing denominators, we can assume that all coefficients are in  $Z$ . Say  $\alpha$  satisfies

$$z_0 + z_1\alpha + \cdots + z_{s-1}\alpha^{s-1} + n\alpha^s = 0$$

with  $z_i \in Z$  and with  $n$  a nonzero integer. Multiplying this expression by  $n^{s-1}$  yields

$$z_0n^{s-1} + z_1n^{s-2}(n\alpha) + \cdots + z_{s-1}(n\alpha)^{s-1} + (n\alpha)^s = 0$$

and hence  $n\alpha$  is integral over  $Z$ .

(ii) By (i), let  $n$  be a nonzero integer with  $n\alpha$  integral over  $Z$  and choose a prime number  $p$  which does not divide  $n$ . We claim that  $1/p$  is not contained in  $Z[\alpha]$ . Indeed, if  $1/p \in Z[\alpha]$ , then by writing this element as an integer polynomial in  $\alpha$ , we see that  $n^t(1/p)$  is an algebraic integer for some  $t \geq 1$ . But  $n^t(1/p) \in Q$  and  $Z$  is integrally closed in  $Q$ , so  $n^t(1/p) \in Z$ . In particular,  $p \mid n^t$  and, since  $p$  is a prime not dividing  $n$ , we have a contradiction. Thus  $1/p \notin Z[\alpha]$  and  $Z[\alpha] \not\subseteq Q$ .