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#### Abstract

A weak-type inequality is proved for Bochner-Riesz means at the critical index, for functions in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), 1 \leq p<4 / 3$.


## 1. Introduction

For a Schwartz-function $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ let $\widehat{f}(\xi)=\int f(y) e^{-i\langle y, \xi\rangle} d y$ denote the Fourier transform and define the Bochner-Riesz means by

$$
S_{R}^{\lambda} f(x)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int_{|\xi| \leq R}\left(1-\frac{|\xi|^{2}}{R^{2}}\right)^{\lambda} \widehat{f}(\xi) e^{i\langle x, \xi\rangle} d \xi ;
$$

we set $S^{\lambda}=S_{1}^{\lambda}$. It is a classical theorem of Bochner that $S^{\lambda}$ extends to a bounded operator on $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$ if $\lambda>1 / 2$. The theorem of Carleson and Sjölin [2] states that $S^{\lambda}$ is bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ if $0<\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{4}{3+2 \lambda}<p<\frac{4}{1-2 \lambda}$. It is well known that the $L^{p}$ boundedness fails if $p \leq \frac{4}{3+2 \lambda}$ and C. Fefferman [11] showed that $S^{0}$ is not bounded in $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ if $p \neq 2$.

In this paper we are concerned with endpoint estimates for the critical exponent $p_{0}(\lambda)=\frac{4}{3+2 \lambda}$. In [4], [5] M. Christ proved that $S^{\lambda}$ is of weak type $\left(p_{0}(\lambda), p_{0}(\lambda)\right)$ if $1 / 6<\lambda \leq 1 / 2$ (for related results see also [6], [15]). A combination of $L^{2}$-variants of Calderón-Zygmund theory (as used first by Fefferman [10]) and the $L^{p} \rightarrow L^{2}$ restriction theorem for the Fourier transform (valid for $p \leq 6 / 5=p_{0}(1 / 6)$ ) is essential in Christ's analysis; this accounts for the restriction $\lambda>1 / 6$. It had been an open problem whether the weak type inequality for the critical index $\lambda(p)=$ $2(1 / p-1 / 2)-1 / 2$ is true for $6 / 5 \leq p<4 / 3$ (although for radial functions this was proved by Chanillo and Muckenhoupt [3]).
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $0<\lambda \leq 1 / 2$. Then for all $\alpha>0$ there is the weak-type inequality

$$
\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|S^{\lambda} f(x)\right|>\alpha\right\}\right| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{p_{0}}^{p_{0}}}{\alpha^{p_{0}}}, \quad p_{0}=\frac{4}{3+2 \lambda}
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $f$ or $\alpha$.
By scaling the same estimate holds for $S_{R}^{\lambda}$, uniformly in $R$, and a standard argument gives that $\lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} S_{R}^{\lambda} f=f$ in the topology of the weak type space $L^{p_{0} \infty}$ provided that $f \in L^{p_{0}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.

[^0]We shall also prove an $L^{p}$ endpoint version of the Carleson-Sjölin theorem. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{\lambda, \gamma}(\xi)=\frac{\left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)_{+}^{\lambda}}{\left(1-\log \left(1-|\xi|^{2}\right)\right)^{\gamma}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $1 \leq p<4 / 3$ and $\lambda(p)=2\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}$. Then $m_{\lambda(p), \gamma}$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ if and only if $\gamma>\frac{1}{p}$.

The necessity of the condition $\gamma>1 / p$ was proved in [14], the sufficiency for $p \leq 6 / 5$ in [15].

In what follows $c$ and $C$ will always be positive numbers which may assume different values in different formulas.

## 2. Strong type estimates

For an interval $I$ on the real line denote by $I^{*}$ the interval with same midpoint and double length. Suppose $\mathfrak{I}=\left\{I_{j}\right\}_{j \geq 0}$ is a collection of intervals such that $I_{j} \subset(1 / 4,4)$ and $2^{-j-3} \leq\left|I_{j}\right| \leq 2^{-j}$ and such that

$$
I_{j}^{*} \cap I_{j^{\prime}}^{*}=\emptyset \quad \text { if } j \neq j^{\prime} .
$$

For each $j \geq 0$ let $\psi_{j}$ be a $C^{2}$-function supported in $I_{j}$ with bounds

$$
\left\|\psi_{j}^{(\ell)}\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{j \ell}, \quad \ell=0,1,2 .
$$

Let $\eta \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ such supp $(\eta) \subset\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|\xi_{1} / \xi_{2}\right| \leq 10^{-1}, \xi_{2}>0\right\}$.
Define the operator $T_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{T_{j} f}(\xi)=\eta(\xi) \psi_{j}(|\xi|) \widehat{f}(\xi) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$T_{j}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{1}$ with operator norm $O\left(2^{j / 2}\right)$, and Córdoba [8] showed that the $L^{4 / 3}$ operator norm of $T_{j}$ is $O\left(j^{1 / 4}\right)$. We note that in order to prove results such as Theorem 1.2 for $p>1$ it is not sufficient to derive sharp $L^{p}$ bounds for the individual operators $T_{j}$. Our main result is
Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $1 \leq p<4 / 3$ and $\lambda(p)=2\left(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2}$ and $\mathfrak{I}$, $T_{j}$ are as above. Then there is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{j} T_{j} f_{j}\right\|_{p} \leq C\left(\sum_{j}\left[2^{j \lambda(p)}\left\|f_{j}\right\|_{p}\right]^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular if

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\sum_{j} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} a_{j} \eta(\xi) \psi_{j}(|\xi|) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $m$ is a Fourier multiplier of $L^{p}$ if $\left\{a_{j}\right\} \in \ell^{p}$ (simply apply Theorem 2.1 with $\left.f_{j}=a_{j} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} f\right)$. It is easy to see that the multiplier $m_{\lambda, \gamma}$ in (1.1) is a finite sum of a smooth compactly supported function and rotates of multipliers of the form (2.3), with $a_{j}=c j^{-\gamma}$. Therefore Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By duality the inequality (2.2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j}\left[2^{-j \lambda\left(q^{\prime}\right)}\left\|T_{j} f\right\|_{q}\right]^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\|f\|_{q}, \quad q>4 . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [8] one decomposes each $\psi_{j}(|\cdot|)$ into pieces which are essentially supported in rectangles of dimensions $\left(c 2^{-j / 2}, c 2^{-j}\right)$. To this end let $\beta \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ be supported in $(-1,1)$ such that $\sum_{\nu=-\infty}^{\infty} \beta(s-\nu)=1$ for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then define $T_{j}^{\nu}$ by

$$
\widehat{T_{j}^{\prime \prime}}(\xi)=\beta\left(2^{j / 2} \xi_{1}-\nu\right) \widehat{T_{j} f}(\xi) .
$$

For $n \leq j / 2$ let

$$
\mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}=\left\{\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: 2^{j / 2-n-1}<\left|\nu-\nu^{\prime}\right| \leq 2^{j / 2-n}\right\} .
$$

Notice that $T_{j}^{\nu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} f=0$ if $\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}$ and $n<0$. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum_{j}\left[2^{-j \lambda\left(q^{\prime}\right)}\left\|T_{j} f\right\|_{q}\right]^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
= & \left(\sum_{j}\left[2^{-2 j \lambda\left(q^{\prime}\right)}\left\|\sum_{\nu} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}} T_{j}^{\nu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} f\right\|_{\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
\leq & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\sum_{j \geq 2 n}\left[2^{-2 j \lambda\left(q^{\prime}\right)}\left\|\sum_{\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}} T_{j}^{\nu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} f\right\|_{\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall show that for $q \geq 4$ the $n^{\text {th }}$ term in (2.5) is bounded by $C 2^{-n(1 / 2-2 / q)}\|f\|_{q}$ from which (2.4) immediately follows. This is contained in
Proposition 2.2. For $f, g \in \mathcal{S}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ let

$$
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}(f, g)=\sum_{\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{j}^{n}} T_{j}^{\nu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} g .
$$

Then for $q \geq 4$ there is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j \geq 2 n}\left[2^{-2 j \lambda\left(q^{\prime}\right)}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}(f, g)\right\|_{\frac{q}{2}}\right]^{\frac{q}{2}}\right)^{\frac{2}{q}} \leq C 2^{-n\left(1-\frac{4}{q}\right)}\|f\|_{q}\|g\|_{q} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The inequality follows by complex interpolation for bilinear mappings from the cases $q=4$ and $q=\infty$. The correct interpretation of (2.6) for $q=\infty$ is of course

$$
\sup _{j} 2^{-j}\left\|\sum_{\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}} T_{j}^{\nu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} g\right\|_{\infty} \leq C 2^{-n}\|f\|_{\infty}\|g\|_{\infty}
$$

But this is immediate since each operator $T_{j}^{\nu}$ is bounded on $L^{\infty}$ with norm independent of $j$ and $\nu$ and since the cardinality of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { Z }}_{n}^{j}$ is bounded by $C 2^{j / 2} \times 2^{j / 2-n}=$ $C 2^{j-n}$.

We shall now prove the required estimate for $q=4$ which is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j \geq 2 n}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}(f, g)\right\|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leq C\|f\|_{4}\|g\|_{4} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $n$.
We first use Plancherel's theorem and C. Fefferman's basic observation ([12], [8]) that for fixed $j$ the sets supp $\left(\widehat{T_{j}^{\nu} f}\right)+\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{T_{j}^{\prime^{\prime}} f}\right)$ are essentially disjoint; that is each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is contained in at most $M$ of these sets where $M$ is independent of $j$. This yields the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq 2 n}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}(f, g)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{j}^{n}}\left\|T_{j}^{\nu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} g\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is crucial for this proof that a finer decomposition can be made depending on how far apart the supports of $\widehat{T_{j}^{\prime \prime}}$ and $\widehat{T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime}} g}$ are, that is, depending on $n$. We define operators $T_{j}^{\nu \mu}$ by

$$
\widehat{T_{j}^{\nu \mu}} f(\xi)=\beta\left(2^{j-n} \xi_{1}-\mu\right) \widehat{T_{j}^{\nu f}}(\xi)
$$

so that $\widehat{T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f}$ is supported in a rectangle of dimensions $\left(C 2^{-j+n}, C 2^{-j}\right)$. Again one can check that for fixed $j$ and fixed $\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}$ each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is contained in at most
 $\nu, \nu^{\prime}$. Each $E_{j n \nu \nu^{\prime}}^{\mu \mu}$ is contained in a rectangle of dimensions $\left(C^{\prime} 2^{-j+n}, C^{\prime} 2^{-j}\right)$. For fixed $j, \nu, \nu^{\prime}$ there are no more than $C^{\prime \prime} 2^{(j-2 n)}$ of these rectangles and they form an essentially disjoint cover of supp $\left(\widehat{T_{j}^{\prime \prime} f}\right)+\operatorname{supp}\left(\widehat{T_{j}^{\prime^{\prime}} g}\right)$, the latter set being contained in a rectangle of dimensions $\left(C 2^{-j / 2}, C 2^{-j / 2-n}\right)$. The disjointness property and Plancherel's theorem imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \geq 2 n}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}(f, g)\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C \sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\mu, \mu^{\prime}} \sum_{\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{Z}_{j}^{n}}\left\|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}} g\right\|_{2}^{2} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any integer $\kappa$ with $|\kappa| \leq 2^{n}$ let

$$
\mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}=\left\{\mu \in \mathbb{Z}:\left|2^{n-j} \mu-2^{-n} \kappa\right| \leq 2^{-n}\right\} .
$$

Then observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}} g=0 \quad \text { if }\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}, \mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}, \mu^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa^{\prime}},\left|\kappa-\kappa^{\prime}\right| \geq 8 \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, if $\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}, \mu^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa^{\prime}}, T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}} g \neq 0$ then $\left|2^{n-j} \mu-2^{-j / 2} \nu\right| \leq 2^{-j / 2+1}$ and $\left|2^{n-j} \mu^{\prime}-2^{-j / 2} \nu^{\prime}\right| \leq 2^{-j / 2+1}$. If $\left(\nu, \nu^{\prime}\right) \in \mathfrak{Z}_{j}^{n}$ this implies that $\left|2^{n-j}\left(\mu-\mu^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq$ $2^{-j / 2+2}+2^{-n} \leq 5 \cdot 2^{-n}$ and therefore $\left|\kappa-\kappa^{\prime}\right| \leq 7$, hence (2.10). Moreover we note that for $\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}$ the support of $\widehat{T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f}$ is essentially a rectangle with eccentricity $2^{-n}$ such that the directions of its sides depend on $\kappa$ but not on $\mu$.

By (2.9) and (2.10) we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j \geq 2 n}\left\|\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}(f, g)\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq & C \sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\substack{\kappa^{\prime} \\
\left|\kappa^{\prime}-\kappa\right|<8}}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}} \sum_{\nu}\left|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{\mu^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa_{j}^{\prime}}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}}\left|T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}} g\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}^{2} \\
\leq & C^{\prime} \sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\kappa} \sum_{\mid \kappa^{\prime^{\prime}}}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}} \sum_{\nu}\left|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{4}^{2}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu^{\prime} \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\prime}} \sum_{\nu^{\prime}}\left|T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}} g\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{4}^{2} \\
\leq & C^{\prime \prime}\left(\sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\kappa}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}} \sum_{\nu}\left|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{4}^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\kappa}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}} \sum_{\nu}\left|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} g\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{4}^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the desired estimate (2.7) follows from the case $q=4$ of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3. For $q \geq 2$ there is the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{j \geq 2 n} \sum_{\kappa}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}} \sum_{\nu}\left|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{q}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq C\|f\|_{q} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ does not depend on $n$.
Proof. It suffices to prove (2.11) for $q=2$ and $q=\infty$. Let $h_{j}^{\nu \mu}$ be the Fourier multiplier defining $T_{j}^{\nu \mu}$.

For fixed $\mu$ and $j$ there are at most three $\nu$ such that $T_{j}^{\nu \mu} \neq 0$ and since the supports of the functions $\psi_{j}$ are disjoint it follows that each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is contained in at most 6 of the sets supp $h_{j}^{\mu \nu}$. Moreover for fixed $\mu$ and $j$ there are at most two $\kappa$ such that $\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}$. Now (2.11) for $q=2$ is an immediate consequence of Plancherel's theorem.

In order to check the required estimate for $q=\infty$ we consider for a fixed $\mathfrak{a}=$ $\left\{a_{\nu \mu}\right\} \in \ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)$ the multiplier

$$
m_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j \kappa}(\xi)=\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{K}} \sum_{\nu} a_{\nu \mu} h_{j}^{\nu \mu}(\xi)
$$

and denote by $K_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j \kappa}$ its inverse Fourier transform.
Let $e_{1}^{\kappa}=\left(2^{-n} \kappa, \sqrt{1-2^{-2 n} \kappa^{2}}\right)$ and $e_{2}^{\kappa}=\left(-\sqrt{1-2^{-2 n} \kappa^{2}}, 2^{-n} \kappa\right)$ and let $L_{j n}^{\kappa}$ be the symmetric linear transformation in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $L_{j n}^{\kappa} e_{1}^{\kappa}=2^{j} e_{1}^{\kappa}, L_{j n}^{\kappa} e_{2}^{\kappa}=2^{j-n} e_{2}^{\kappa}$. Then $h_{j}^{\nu \mu}\left(L_{j n}^{\kappa} \cdot\right)$ is supported in a cube $Q_{j}^{\nu \mu}$ of sidelength 10 and for fixed $j$ the cubes $Q_{j}^{\nu \mu}$ have finite overlap, uniformly in $j$. Moreover it is easy to see that for $\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}$

$$
\left\|\frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}\left[h_{j}^{\nu \mu}\left(L_{j n}^{\kappa} \cdot\right)\right]\right\|_{\infty} \leq C, \quad|\alpha| \leq 2 .
$$

Since the Sobolev-space $L_{2}^{2}$ is a subspace of $\widehat{L^{1}}$ we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|K_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j \kappa}\right\|_{1} & =\left\|2^{-2 j+n} K_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j \kappa}\left(\left(L_{j n}^{\kappa}\right)^{-1} \cdot\right)\right\|_{1} \\
& \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 2}\left\|\sum_{\mu, \nu} a_{\nu \mu} \frac{\partial^{\alpha}}{\partial \xi^{\alpha}}\left[h_{j}^{\nu \mu}\left(L_{j n}^{\kappa} \cdot\right)\right]\right\|_{2} \\
& \leq C^{\prime}\left(\sum_{\mu, \nu}\left|a_{\nu \mu}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ does not depend on $j, \kappa$ and $\mathfrak{a}$. This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sup _{j \geq 2 n} \sup _{\kappa}\left\|\left(\sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{W}_{j n}^{\kappa}} \sum_{\nu}\left|T_{j}^{\nu \mu} f\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{\infty} \\
= & \sup _{j \geq 2 n} \sup _{\kappa} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}} \sup _{\|\mathfrak{a}\|_{\ell^{2}\left(\mathbb{Z}^{2}\right)} \leq 1}\left|K_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j \kappa} * f(x)\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{j \geq 2 n} \sup _{\kappa} \sup _{\|\mathfrak{a}\|_{\ell^{2}\left(Z^{2}\right)} \leq 1}\left\|K_{\mathfrak{a}}^{j \kappa}\right\|_{1}\|f\|_{\infty} \leq C\|f\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is the desired estimate for $q=\infty$.

## Remarks.

(a) For $q=\infty$ the inequality (2.11) is closely related to an estimate on squarefunctions with respect to an equally spaced decomposition, see e.g. [9], [13]; in fact it can be obtained from these estimates.
(b) A variant of the above proof can be used to obtain the known sharp $L^{4}$ bound $\left\|T_{j}\right\|_{L^{4} \rightarrow L^{4}}=O\left(j^{1 / 4}\right)$ without making use of the sharp $L^{2}$ bounds for Kakeyamaximal functions.
(c) The observation concerning the overlapping properties of supp $T_{j}^{\nu \mu}+\operatorname{supp} T_{j}^{\nu^{\prime} \mu^{\prime}}$ can be used to improve on some bounds for sectorial square-functions in Córdoba [9]. This has been observed by A. Carbery and the author.
(d) The decomposition in terms of the bilinear operators $\mathcal{B}_{j}^{n}$ is related to a decomposition used by Carbery [1] in his work on weighted inequalities for the maximal Bochner-Riesz operator $S_{*}^{\lambda}$. The techniques above can be used to prove new weighted inequalities for $S_{*}^{\lambda}$.

## 3. Weak type estimates

Let $\mathfrak{I}$ be a family of disjoint intervals as introduced in $\S 2$ and let $T_{j}$ be as in (2.1). Define

$$
T^{\lambda} f=\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \lambda} T_{j} f
$$

We shall prove the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|T^{\lambda(p)} f(x)\right|>\alpha\right\}\right| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{p}^{p}}{\alpha^{p}}, \quad p<\frac{4}{3} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda(p)=2(1 / p-1 / 2)-1 / 2$ and $C$ does not depend on $f$ or $\alpha$. Of course Theorem 1.1 is a consequence of (3.1).

As in [5] the proof is based on an interpolation. The argument uses Theorem 2.1 and known estimates previously obtained in the proof of weak-type $(1,1)$ inequalities (see [4], [7], [15]).

Let $f \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ where $1 \leq p<\frac{4}{3}$ and let $\alpha>0$. In order to estimate the quantity on the left hand side of (3.1) we apply the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition to $|f|^{p}$ at height $\alpha^{p}$. We obtain a decomposition $f=g+b$ where $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq C \alpha$, $\|g\|_{p} \leq C\|f\|_{p}, b=\sum_{Q} b_{Q}$, supp $b_{Q} \subset Q$, the squares $Q$ are pairwise disjoint, $\left\|b_{Q}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq C \alpha^{p}|Q|, \sum_{Q}|Q| \leq C \alpha^{-p}\|f\|_{p}^{p}$; and as a consequence $\alpha^{p-2}\|g\|_{2}^{2}+\|b\|_{p}^{p} \leq$ $C\|f\|_{p}^{p}$.

Let $l(Q)$ be the sidelength of $Q$ and $B_{j}=\sum_{Q: l(Q)=2^{j}} b_{Q}$ if $j>0$ and $B_{0}=$ $\sum_{Q: l(Q) \leq 0} b_{Q}$. Then

$$
\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|T^{\lambda(p)} f(x)\right|>\alpha\right\} \subset \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2} \cup \Omega_{3} \cup \Omega_{4} \cup \Omega_{5}
$$

where $\Omega_{1}$ is the union of the double squares $Q^{*}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{2}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|T^{\lambda(p)} g(x)\right|>\frac{\alpha}{5}\right\} \\
& \Omega_{3}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|\sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} T_{j} B_{j-s}(x)\right|>\frac{\alpha}{5}\right\} \\
& \Omega_{4}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2}:\left|\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} T_{j} B_{0}(x)\right|>\frac{\alpha}{5}\right\} \\
& \Omega_{5}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}:\left|\sum_{\sigma>0} \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} T_{j} B_{j+\sigma}(x)\right|>\frac{\alpha}{5}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the disjointness of the squares $Q$ we have

$$
\left|\Omega_{1}\right| \leq \sum_{Q}\left|Q^{*}\right| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{p}^{p}}{\alpha^{p}}
$$

and Chebyshev's inequality and the $L^{2}$-boundedness of $T^{\lambda}$ imply

$$
\left|\Omega_{2}\right| \leq C \frac{\left\|T^{\lambda} g\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \leq C^{\prime} \frac{\|g\|_{2}^{2}}{\alpha^{2}} \leq C^{\prime \prime} \frac{\|f\|_{p}^{p}}{\alpha^{p}} .
$$

Next we choose $r$ such that $p<r<4 / 3$. We shall show that the following estimates hold with $\epsilon=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{r}{p}-1\right)$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left\|\sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} T_{j} B_{j-s}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C 2^{-\epsilon s} \alpha^{r-p}\|b\|_{p}^{p}, & s \geq 0, \\
\left\|2^{-j \lambda(p)} T_{j} B_{0}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C 2^{-\epsilon j} \alpha^{r-p}\|b\|_{p}^{p}, & j \geq 0, \\
\left\|\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \lambda(p)} T_{j} B_{j+\sigma}\right\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)}^{p} \leq C 2^{-\varepsilon \sigma}\|b\|_{p}^{p}, & \sigma \geq 0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{array}
$$

From (3.2-4) it follows by applications of Minkowski's and Chebyshev's inequalities that

$$
\left|\Omega_{3}\right|+\left|\Omega_{4}\right|+\left|\Omega_{5}\right| \leq C \frac{\|b\|_{p}^{p}}{\alpha^{p}} \leq C^{\prime} \frac{\|f\|_{p}^{p}}{\alpha^{p}} .
$$

In order to prove (3.2-4) we use analytic interpolation (i.e. the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle) similarly as in [5]. For $\operatorname{Re}(z) \in[0,1]$ define

$$
B_{j, z}(x)=\left|B_{j}(x)\right|^{p[(1-z)+z / r]} \operatorname{sign}\left(B_{j}(x)\right)
$$

and

$$
\gamma(z)=2\left(1-z+\frac{z}{r}-\frac{1}{2}\right)-\frac{1}{2} .
$$

Since $2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j}$ is a bounded operator on $L^{1}$ with norm independent of $j$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{j-s, 1+i \tau}\right\|_{1} \leq C \sum_{j>s}\left\|B_{j-s, 1+i \tau}\right\|_{1} \leq C^{\prime}\|b\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{3.5}\\
\left\|2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{0,1+i \tau}\right\|_{1} \leq C\left\|B_{0}\right\|_{p}^{p} \leq C^{\prime}\|b\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{3.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

From estimates in [7] (or [15]) it follows that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{j-s, 1+i \tau}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C 2^{-s / 2} \alpha^{p}\|b\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{3.7}\\
\left\|2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{0,1+i \tau}\right\|_{2}^{2} \leq C 2^{-j / 2}\|b\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

and also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{j+\sigma, 1+i \tau}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)} \leq C 2^{-\sigma} \sum_{j \geq 0}\left\|B_{j+\sigma, 1+i \tau}\right\|_{1} \leq C^{\prime} 2^{-\sigma}\|b\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the inequality $\|F\|_{r} \leq C\|F\|_{1}^{\frac{2}{r}-1}\|F\|_{2}^{2-\frac{2}{r}}$ we get from (3.5), (3.7) and from (3.6), (3.8) that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{j-s, 1+i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C 2^{-s \frac{r-1}{2}} \alpha^{p(r-1)}\|b\|_{p}^{p} \\
\left\|2^{-j \gamma(1+i \tau)} T_{j} B_{0,1+i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C 2^{-j \frac{r-1}{2}} \alpha^{p(r-1)}\|b\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{3.11}
\end{array}
$$

Now by Theorem 2.1 it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \gamma(i \tau)} T_{j} B_{j-s, i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} & \leq C \sum_{j>s}\left\|B_{j-s, i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C^{\prime}\|b\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{3.12}\\
\left\|2^{-j \gamma(i \tau)} T_{j} B_{0, i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} & \leq C\left\|B_{0, i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C^{\prime}\|b\|_{p}^{p}  \tag{3.13}\\
\left\|\sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \gamma(i \tau)} T_{j} B_{j+\sigma, i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} & \leq C \sum_{j \geq 0}\left\|B_{j+\sigma, i \tau}\right\|_{r}^{r} \leq C^{\prime}\|b\|_{p}^{p} . \tag{3.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $h$ be arbitrary function in $L^{p^{\prime}}, p^{\prime}=p /(p-1)$, with $\|h\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq 1$ and define

$$
h_{z}(x)=|h(x)|_{8}^{z p^{\prime} / r^{\prime}} \operatorname{sign}(h(x)) .
$$

Moreover let $g$ be an arbitrary function in $L^{r^{\prime}}$ with $\|g\|_{r^{\prime}} \leq 1$. We then apply the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle to the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z \mapsto W_{1, s}(z)=\int \sum_{j>s} 2^{-j \gamma(z)} T_{j} B_{j-s, z}(x) g(x) d x \\
& z \mapsto W_{2, j}(z)=\int 2^{-j \gamma(z)} T_{j} B_{0, z}(x) g(x) d x \\
& z \mapsto W_{3, \sigma}(z)=\int \sum_{j \geq 0} 2^{-j \gamma(z)} T_{j} B_{j+\sigma, z}(x) h_{z}(x) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and estimate these functions at $z=\theta$ chosen such that $1 / p=(1-\theta)+\theta / r$. From (3.10), (3.12), from (3.11), (3.13) and from (3.9), (3.14) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|W_{1, s}(\theta)\right| & \leq C \alpha^{r-p} 2^{-\frac{s}{2}\left(\frac{r}{p}-1\right)}\|b\|_{p}^{p} \\
\left|W_{2, j}(\theta)\right| & \leq C \alpha^{r-p} 2^{-\frac{j}{2}\left(\frac{r}{p}-1\right)}\|b\|_{p}^{p} \\
\left|W_{3, \sigma}(\theta)\right| & \leq C 2^{-\sigma\left(\frac{r}{p}-1\right)}\|b\|_{p}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

and an application of the converse of Hölder's inequality yields (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4).

Remark. Endpoint versions for more general classes of multiplier transformations have been formulated in [15]. By combining arguments in this and the present paper one can prove similar results for radial Fourier multipliers of $L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, for the full range $1 \leq p<4 / 3$.
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